Received 24 October 2003

Accepted 2 April 2004

Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography

ISSN 0907-4449

Shuisong Ni,^a Kathleen McAteer,^a Dirksen E. Bussiere^b and Michael A. Kennedy^a*

^aFundamental Sciences, Biological Sciences
Division, Pacific Northwest National
Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA, and
^bChiron Corporation, 4560 Horton Street,
M/S 4.4, Emeryville, CA 94608, USA

Correspondence e-mail: ma_kennedy@pnl.gov

Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis of an *Enterococcus faecalis* repressor protein, CylR2, involved in regulating cytolysin production through quorum-sensing

CylR2 is one of two regulatory proteins associated with the quorumsensing-dependent synthesis of cytolysin in the common pathogen *Enterococcus faecalis*. The protein was expressed with a C-terminal six-histidine tag and purified to homogeneity with a cobalt-affinity column followed by size-exclusion chromatography. Both native and SeMet proteins were produced and crystallized. Complete X-ray diffraction data sets were collected from a native crystal, which diffracted to 2.3 Å resolution, and a SeMet crystal, which diffracted to 2.1 Å. The crystals were tetragonal, belonging to space group $P4_1$ or $P4_3$, with unit-cell parameters a = b = 66.2, c = 40.9 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$. Based on the calculated Matthews coefficient of 2.6 Å³ Da⁻¹ as well as analysis of anomalous difference Patterson maps, the asymmetric unit most likely contains two molecules of CylR2.

1. Introduction

Enterococcus faecalis is among the most common opportunistic antibiotic-resistant pathogens found in hospital-borne infections (Callegan et al., 2002; Coburn & Gilmore, 2003; Shepard & Gilmore, 2002). Unfortunately, the virulence of these enterococcal infections is greatly enhanced by the ability of as many as 60% of the clinical strains to secrete a novel cytolysin. This toxin is capable of lysing erythrocytes, destroying the neural tissue of higher organisms and killing a wide range of Gram-positive prokaryotic organisms. The active toxin consists of two post-translationally modified peptides, CylL_L and CylL_S (Booth et al., 1996; Coburn & Gilmore, 2003). A cluster of genes has been identified as the cytolysin operon on a mobile conjugative plasmid, which can also be integrated into the chromosome of some E. faecalis strains within the pathogenicity islands (Gilmore et al., 1994; Shankar et al., 2002). These genes encode the peptide precursors of the toxin together with several other proteins involved in the modification and transportation of the mature toxin.

Cytolysin expression is controlled by two regulatory genes, cylRI and cylR2, located upstream from the cytolysin operon (Haas *et al.*, 2002). This regulation also involves a unique quorum-sensing mechanism. The shorter chain of the toxin peptide CylL_s is identified as the autoinducer that mediates the production of the active cytolysin in a celldensity-dependent manner. At low cell density, cytolysin synthesis is repressed. This repression is overcome when the cell density reaches approximately 107 cells per millilitre. Genetic analysis indicates that both CylR1 and CylR2 are required for repression. The exact mechanism of this regulation is still unknown. CylR2 is a 66-residue protein. It is predicted to be a transcription factor with a helix-turnhelix DNA-binding motif that might be capable of interacting with the promoter region of the cytolysin operon for repression. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST searches (Altschul et al., 1997) against the known protein structures in the Protein Data Bank showed that CylR2 is remotely related to the SinR protein of Bacillus subtilis, with 19% sequence identity (Lewis et al., 1998). As an initial step towards understanding the structural mechanism of these important regulatory proteins, we describe here the crystallization of CylR2 and its preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis.

2. Methods and results

2.1. Cloning, expression and purification cylR2

The cylR2 gene was amplified from E. faecalis genomic DNA (purchased from American Type Culture Collection) using a standard PCR protocol. The PCR primer sequences are 5'-TCAACAAGAGGAGGT-CTCACATGATAATCAATAAC and 5'-GTGAAATTTAAAAATCTCTCGAGTTCA-GGTTGCCA, where the sequences in bold indicate the recognition sites for the restriction endonucleases BsaI and XhoI, respectively. The resulting PCR product was digested with BsaI and XhoI to generate a DNA insert with overhangs complementary to NcoI and XhoI sites on the vector and cloned into the expression vector pET28b (Novagen Inc.).

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Denmark – all rights reserved DNA-sequencing data on the resulting expression plasmid (Seattle Biomedical Research Institute) indicated that the sequence of the clone was identical to the reported sequence of the *cylR2* gene (Francia *et al.*, 2001).

The plasmid containing cylR2 was transformed into the expression host Escherichia coli Rosetta BL21(DE3) pLysS (Novagen) and the cells were grown at 310 K with vigorous shaking to an OD_{600} of ~0.8 in 11 Luria broth supplemented with $30 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ kanamycin and chloramphenicol. Protein expression was induced by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1.0 mM. At the same time, about 50 μ l of 0.5 M zinc acetate was also added in case the protein bound to zinc, considering that a remote homolog, SinR, is known to bind zinc at its homodimer interface (Lewis et al., 1998). The culture continued to be incubated with shaking for 4 h at 301 K. Cells were then harvested and stored at 193 K. For selenomethionine (SeMet) labeling, E. coli B834 (DE3) pLys S cells transformed with the pET28b plasmid containing CylR2 were grown in 21 of defined M9 medium supplemented with $50 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ SeMet and other common amino acids. All other growth conditions for expressing the SeMet protein were similar to those for the native CylR2.

Thawed cells were resuspended in 35 ml lysis buffer (0.5 *M* NaCl, 20 m*M* Tris, 5 m*M* imidazole pH 7.8). Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride was added to the cell suspension to a final concentration of about $0.2 \,\mu M$ immediately prior to cell lysis by three passes through a French press (SLM Instruments Inc.). The cell lysate was spun at 24 000g for 30 min. The supernatant was loaded onto a 10 ml cobalt-affinity column (BD Biosciences) and washed stepwise with 50 ml buffer (0.5 *M* NaCl, 20 m*M* Tris pH 7.8) with increasing concentrations of



Figure 1

Commassie-blue stained 4–20% SDS–tricine gel of CylR2 purified from the size-exclusion column. Lanes 1, 2 and 3, fractions of CylR2 from SEC; lane 4, molecular-weight markers.

imidazole (10, 50, 250 and 400 mM). The CylR2 protein was eluted from the cobalt column in several fractions of about 10 ml collected between 50 and 250 mM imidazole. Each fraction was analyzed on SDS-PAGE gel. Fractions with highly purified CylR2 were combined and dialyzed at 277 K overnight against a buffer containing 1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8. The protein solution was concentrated with an Amicon Centriprep-3 followed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a Pharmacia Superdex75 HiLoad column equilibrated with the same buffer in order to maximize the monodispersity immediately prior to setting up crystallization trials. Following the cobalt-affinity column and size-exclusion chromatography, the C-terminal His-tagged CylR2 was purified to apparent homogeneity (Fig. 1). About 14 mg of purified proteins was obtained per litre of culture.

Pure CylR2 precipitated out at NaCl concentrations below 500 mM. NaCl greater than 800 mM was required for CylR2 to remain soluble. SEC indicated that at 8 mg ml^{-1} the majority of the protein appeared to form dimers in solution based on an elution volume of 74 ml at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ on the Pharmacia Superdex 75 HiLoad column. Increased aggregation was observed at higher protein concentrations $(>12 \text{ ml min}^{-1}).$ Addition of either 10%(w/v) glycerol or 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) did not disrupt its aggregation, the latter being consistent with the absence of cysteine residues in the protein sequence. The SeMet protein behaved similarly to the native protein.

2.2. Crystallization of CylR2

Crystallization screening of CylR2 was carried out at room temperature (295 K) using the hanging-drop method. Drops were set up by mixing $2 \mu l \text{ CylR2} (10 \text{ mg ml}^{-1})$ with 2 µl of each reservoir buffer from the Hampton Research Crystal Screen kits. Showers of small clustered needle-shaped crystals occurred in several drops with PEGs of different molecular weights as the precipitants within the first week of incubation at 295 K. Conditions for crystallization were optimized around the initial successful buffers by varying the pH and the NaCl, polyethylene glycol (PEG) and protein concentrations. CylR2 crystallized as thin long clustered rod-shaped crystals with typical dimensions of 0.6–1.0 \times 0.05–0.08 \times 0.05-0.08 mm in a final crystallization buffer containing 30%(w/v) PEG 8000, 0.2 M sodium acetate, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 6.5 and 12%(w/v) glycerol. However, these long rod-shaped crystals diffracted poorly as they were easily damaged by the severe bending that occurred during crystal mounting and freezing. Hardier single crystals with more balanced dimensions were obtained by macroseeding (Fig. 2a). For macroseeding, crystals were briefly washed in the buffer and then transferred to a preequilibrated drop of the same buffer solution used to obtain the original crystals by mixing 2 µl of a diluted protein sample (about 8.5 mg ml⁻¹) with the crystallization buffer. Crystals were allowed to grow for approximately 2-3 weeks. Problems associated with crystal bending were avoided by cutting the long crystals into shorter fragments. The cut crystals were flash-cooled under liquid nitrogen. The SeMet CylR2 protein was crystallized under the same conditions as the native protein. The crystals were cryoprotected in the original crystallization solution containing 12% glycerol.

2.3. X-ray diffraction data collection and analysis

CylR2 crystals were initially screened and characterized using an in-house Bruker AXS Proteum 6000 X-ray diffractometer outfitted with a Cu rotating-anode X-ray source (FR-591) and a Smart6000 CCD



(a)



Figure 2

(a) Single crystal of native CylR2 grown in a buffer containing 30%(w/v) polyethylene glycol 8000, 0.2 *M* sodium acetate, 0.1 *M* sodium cacodylate pH 6.5 and 12%(w/v) glycerol after macroseeding. (b) The diffraction pattern of native CylR2 collected on the Bruker Proteum 6000 at a wavelength of 1.54 Å. The resolution at the edge of the image is 2.45 Å.

detector. A full data set was collected from a native CylR2 crystal at a crystal-to-detector distance of 60 mm under a steady stream of liquid nitrogen (100 K) with an exposure time of 30 s and an oscillation angle of 0.3° per image. Images were indexed, integrated and scaled using the Bruker Proteum software package (Bruker Advanced X-Ray Solutions, Madision, WI, USA). For SeMetlabeled crystals, data collection was performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (beamline 8.3.1) and at Brookhaven National Laboratories (beamline X8-C). At the synchrotrons, each frame of data was collected using an oscillation range of 1° and a 1 s exposure. The data set was collected using inverse- φ geometry utilizing 20° wedges (that is, 20° of data were collected at φ and 20° of data were collected at φ + 180). An entire 360° sphere of data was collected from a single CylR2 crystal.

Optimized native CylR2 crystals typically diffracted to about 2.0–2.3 Å resolution either on the in-house diffractometer or at

synchrotron beamlines (Fig. 2b). A complete data set with a total of 1031 images was collected. Diffraction data statistics are summarized in Table 1. Indexing of the diffraction data showed that the crystals were tetragonal. From the complete data set, the space group was determined to be either $P4_1$ or $P4_3$, with unit-cell parameters $a = b = 66.2, c = 40.9 \text{ Å}, \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}.$ Analysis of the Matthews coefficient (Matthews, 1968) of 2.6 $Å^3$ Da⁻¹ indicated that the crystallographic asymmetric unit probably contained two molecules of CylR2 and a solvent content of 51.5%. SeMet crystals had the same crystal system with similar unit-cell parameters.

CylR2 has only one methionine residue at the N-terminus and its presence in the purified protein sample was confirmed by the observation of a signature cross-peak for the methionine in a ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ HSQC (heteronuclear single quantum coherence) NMR experiment (data not shown). Fluorescence measurements made at the synchrotron also confirmed the presence of selenium in the

Table 1

Summary of crystallographic data of a native CylR2 crystal and an SeMet CylR2 crystal.

Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

	Native†	SeMet SAD data‡
Source	Copper rotating anode, Bruker Proteum 6000	Beamline 8.3.1, ALS
Wavelength (Å)	1.54	0.979
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Tetragonal
Space group	P41 or P43	P41 or P43
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a = b = 66.2, c = 40.9	a = b = 66.53, c = 40.31
Resolution range (Å)	50.0-2.3	50-2.05
Total No. observations	72850	412750
Total No. unique reflections	8079	21765
Completeness (%)	99.9 (99.4)	99.9 (100.0)
Redundancy	1.9 (1.8)	7.5 (7.5)
$R_{\rm sym}$ (%)	2.5 (22.1)	3.9 (30.3)
Average $I/\sigma(I)$	27.8 (4.1)	36.6 (5.3)

† Diffraction data statistics were obtained using the XPREP module of the SHELX software package (Sheldrick, 1998).
‡ Diffraction data were processed using the DENZO software package (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997).



Figure 3

Fluorescence scan for CylR2 crystals collected on beamline 8.3.1 at SSRL. SAD data were collected at a wavelength of 0.979546 Å, corresponding to an energy of 12 659 eV. This wavelength represented the *K* edge of the selenium incorporated into the selenomethionine as indicated by the fluorescence scan and hence is the optimal wavelength for the collection of SAD data.





SeMet crystal (Fig. 3). Preliminary analysis of anomalous difference Patterson maps from the single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) data collected at beamline 8.3.1 (ALS) show peaks for Se anomalous scatterers (Fig. 4), indicating that the SeMet was in the structured part of this 66-residue protein. This should allow us to determine the structure of CylR2 by MAD or SAD methods.

In-house X-ray data were collected at the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (a national scientific user facility sponsored by the US Department of Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research) located at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory and operated by Battelle for the Department of Energy (contract KP130103). The work was supported by Battelle Corporate IR&D funding and the Department of Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research Grant KP11-01-01:24931. We thank Dr Matthew Benning of Bruker AXS for helpful discussions regarding crystal optimization and data analysis. We also thank Dr Leon Flaks, Dr Li-Wei Hung and Dr Howard Robinson of the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory and Dr James Holton of the Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory for assistance during synchrotron data collection.

References

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. & Lipman, D. J. (1997). Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389–3402.

- Booth, M. C., Bogie, C. P., Sahl, H. G., Siezen, R. J., Hatter, K. L. & Gilmore, M. S. (1996). *Mol. Microbiol.* **21**, 1175– 1184.
- Callegan, M. C., Engelbert, M., Parke, D. W. II, Jett, B. D. & Gilmore, M. S. (2002). *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **15**, 111–124.
- Coburn, P. S. & Gilmore, M. S. (2003). Cell. Microbiol. 5, 661–669.
- Francia, M. V., Haas, W., Wirth, R., Samberger, E., Muscholl-Silberhorn, A., Gilmore, M. S., Ike,

Y., Weaver, K. E., An, F. Y. & Clewell, D. B. (2001). *Plasmid*, **46**, 117–127.

- Gilmore, M. S., Segarra, R. A., Booth, M. C., Bogie, C. P., Hall, L. R. & Clewell, D. B. (1994). J. Bacteriol. 176, 7335–7344.
- Haas, W., Shepard, B. D. & Gilmore, M. S. (2002). *Nature (London)*, **415**, 84–87.
- Lewis, R. J., Brannigan, J. A., Offen, W. A., Smith, I. & Wilkinson, A. J. (1998). J. Mol. Biol. 283, 907–912.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307–326.
- Shankar, N., Baghdayan, A. S. & Gilmore, M. S. (2002). *Nature (London)*, **417**, 746– 750.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). Direct Methods for Solving Macromolecular Structures, edited by S. Fortier, pp. 401–411. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Shepard, B. D. & Gilmore, M. S. (2002). *Microbes Infect.* 4, 215–224.